**COLDWALTHAM PARISH COUNCIL**

**MINUTES OF THE PLANNING MEETING HELD**

**on THURSDAY 15th NOVEMBER 2017 at 7.30PM**

**AT FIELD HOUSE, BROCKHURST FARM, WATERSFIELD**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Those Present:** | **Cllr T Burr** |  |
|  | **Cllr O Dudman**  |  |
|  | **Cllr A Lilley** |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **P 17 / 09.00** | PUBLIC PARTICIPATION - to receive comments made by members of the public. |
|  | Cllr Burr chaired the meeting as planning lead. |
| **P 17 / 10.00** | TO RECEIVE AND ACCEPT APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE |
|  | None received. |
| **P 17 / 11.00** | **TO RECEIVE ANY DECLARATION OF DISCLOSABLE PECUNIARY INTERESTS** |
|  | None received. |
| **P 17 / 12.00** | **PLANNING** |
|  | **SDNP/17/05215/FUL** | **WOODPECKERS, KINGS LANE, HARDHAM** |
|  | *Proposed change of use and conversion of barn to holiday accommodation featuring Installation of 2x rear dormers, 1x rear dormer, 1x rear Juliet balcony, installation of 1x first floor side window and associated internal alterations. Demolition of existing store building, erection of replacement open-sided store and additional open sided car port.* |
|  | **SDNP/17/05218/Lis & SDNP/17/05220/HOUS** | **WOODPECKERS, KINGS LANE, HARDHAM** |
|  | *Demolition of existing double garage and outbuilding. Erection of replacement timber double garage and timber outbuilding (Listed Building Consent & Household Application)* |
|  | Councillor Burr presented the various plans to the meeting. He had been informed that the applicant had sadly died since making the application, but the planning authority had advised that the application remained valid, and would be progressed unless and until it was withdrawn by next of kin or executors.The Council considered the plans. It was noted that the Parish Council had objected to a previous application (SDNP/16/01719/HOUS) for the buildings now covered by SDNP/17/05220/HOUS. The new application was not out of scale with the buildings to be replaced and with the house. The roof of the garage had a higher pitch and therefore a larger profile, but would be tiled and not out of keeping with its setting. It was however important that the planning authority should not lose sight of our earlier concerns.The main element of SDNP/17/05215/FUL was the barn conversion, which like other such conversions detracted from the original facade of the building, though it faced away from the road and would not be conspicuous. There was understood to be a need for holiday accommodation in the National Park, and the proposal was not unreasonable in the circumstances. |
|  | **RESOLVED:** | **To raise no objection to the SDNP regarding the plans, but to say that the Parish Council had nothing to add to their previous comments, in order to keep them in view.** |

**Chairman:……………………….…………………………. Date:………………**